



Contents lists available <http://www.kinnaird.edu.pk/>

**Journal of Research & Reviews in Social Sciences Pakistan**

Journal homepage: <http://journal.kinnaird.edu.pk>



## A STUDY OF THE FACTORS AFFECTING QUALITY OF LIFE OF BREAST CANCER PATIENTS

Javeria Basharat<sup>1</sup>, Naila Alam<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Kinnaird College for Women, Lahore

### Article Info

\*Corresponding Author

Email Id: [naila.alam1969@gmail.com](mailto:naila.alam1969@gmail.com)

### Abstract

Breast cancer has been considered as general malignancies among women in all countries. The previous studies explored that Quality of life of breast cancer patients gave the main idea in expressing the different aspects of patients around different fields of wellbeing. This study explores different demographic and other factors (Physical well-being, Psychological wellbeing, social wellbeing and Spiritual wellbeing) that affect the Quality of life (QOL) of breast cancer survivors. The data is obtained from female breast cancer patients who visited Institute of nuclear Oncology and Medicine Lahore (INMOL) Pakistan during the period of November 2016 to January 2017 by face to face meeting and through calls. The female patients who have completed their one year survivorship are included in the study and their Physical well-being, Psychological wellbeing, social wellbeing, Spiritual wellbeing and QOL are measured with a modified (adapted) questionnaire. The Analysis of variance (ANOVA), Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and structural equation modeling techniques are used to quantify the effects. The 36 total items are compressed in 6 factors using EFA technique named as Physical Exertion, Psychological Well Being, Social Well Being, Spiritual Well Being and Quality of Life. The value of  $\chi^2$  (1267.401) and model fit indices (CFI,TLI ; 0.85,0.92) indicate a better fit. The value of RMSEA (0.07) is significant showing a good fit of the model. Also, different regression and correlation models are estimated through structural equation Modeling (SEM). The results show that family income, social status, marital status, income, having family history of breast cancer and length of breastfeeding period has significant effect on QOL of breast cancer patients. It is noticed that regression effects of Physical Exertion, Psychological wellbeing and spiritual Well Being are statistically significant but social wellbeing has no significant effect on QOL of breast cancer survivor.

### Keywords

Quality of life, Breast cancer, Physical well-being, Social well being



## 1. Introduction

According to the definition of American Cancer Society (2018), Cancer is a group of diseases that build harmful cells or mass in the body called a tumor. Mostly they are named after the part of the body where the tumor originates. Most breast cancers begin either in the breast tissue made up of glands for milk production, called lobules, or in the ducts that connect the lobules to the nipple. The remainder of the breast is made up of fatty, connective, and lymphatic tissues. Also, it was defined by Dollinger *et al.* (1991) that there are two kinds of breast cancer; lobular and ductal. Lobular type of cancer begins with very small cysts in the breast cancer and is less familiar than Ductal cancer. Ductal cancer grows in the tubes that provide milk from the lobules to the nipple. Some cancers are limited inside the covering of the lobules and in many situations they can be healed through restricted surgery. They studied that a lump grows various years ahead cancer begins. There is a possibility that breast cancer stay in breast for a long period or can expand to adjoining lump nodes and faraway structure before ailment. First time Ferrell *et al.* (1995) gave the main idea of health related QOL of patients that is still significant in expressing the different aspects of patients around different field of wellbeing. Also, different dimensions of QOL were studied by Testa *et al.* (1996) that are firmly more valuable or helpful in health care procedure and study. Pandey *et al.* (2000) explained the long term side effects of fatigue during breast cancer treatment. In the administration of cancer

disease in modern society, QOL of the breast cancer patients said to have acquired a valuable position with the high survival rate. Donald (2001) gave an expressive phrase that the individual's spiritual, social and physical wellbeing define the capability to give outcome in normal routine work of livelihood. The main reasons behind the fast growth of QOL scope in health care in developing perception of the importance of accepting the influence about patient's livelihood alternatively on their physique were studied by Addington-hall and Kalra (2001). This is considered being extremely value able for patients with long term ailment, paralyzing or life menacing disease who have no option and no assurance about their treatment and faces many bad circumstances, expected to give an effect on their psychological, physical and social wellbeing. QOL estimates have been constructed to facilitate different aspects of patients about their health and some influence due to health care on lives to become easily determined and allow for analytic decision making and study. Whereas, Janz *et al.* (2005) analyzed the socio demographic variables such as (age, education, cast, employment structure, income status and marital status) reshape their observed relationship and also estimate the relationship between different factors on QOL such as cancer stages, surgical treatments and chemotherapy. It was observed that women who experienced chemotherapy had lower QOL outcomes as compared to those who never

experienced it on the basis of their social wellbeing, physical image and future outlook. Another study by Avis *et al.* (2005) summarized the features that are related with classification of QOL between breast cancer patients, are physical activities, low body image, deficiency of social help, coping skills and all visible features of concerns like communication with doctors.

The consequences of breast cancer are not confined to a person's life. It also disturbed the structure of whole family, and the real wants of children, parents and other siblings of patients. Patient's family members showed personal interest and their powerful support during the period of cancer experience. Hewitt *et al.* (2006) explained that monetary burdens also proceed to low family income and poor insurance condition. Williams *et al.* (2008) elaborated that in western countries breast cancer is the main reason of high death rate in women of middle-aged. Recently one and a half million new cases have been reported around the world. Williams *et al.* (2008) explained that breast cancer has usually diagnosed in the western women. About 3-5% women are dying due to carcinoma of breast. But in developing countries 1-3% are dying due to breast cancer. Williams *et al.* (2008) found association between age and risk of breast cancer among women. He concluded that at age of 90 or above only 20% cases of breast cancer have found. They also discussed that women who had family history of breast cancer are usually diagnosed by the disease. They described that carcinoma of breast is commonly found in nulliparous women. The term nulliparous refers a

state of not given a birth. The risk of breast cancer is high in nulliparous women. If a woman gives birth to a child and breast feed to his baby then it sounds protective. The women who had late menarche or early menopause are protected from breast cancer. An overweight woman can have breast cancer due to her late menopause. This happened because of change of steroid hormones to oestradiol. Awatef *et al.* (2010) examined the association among breast feeding and the risk of breast cancer. In a case-control study of 400 women covering the female population in Tunisia between the years of 2006 and 2009 he observed that all those women's who breastfed their child for 24 months or above has a mean length of breastfeeding per baby showed significant association with a low risk of carcinoma of breast. Those women's who had a lifespan of breast feeding about 73-108 months showed a significantly low risk of breast tumor and those women's who breastfed for 109 months or take a longer duration from 109 months were also at low risk of breast cancer. Stratification through menopausal exhibited a low risk of carcinoma of breast and also associated with a long period of time of breast feeding for both pre and postmenopausal women. Bertram *et al.* (2012) discussed about the improvement in the QOL of breast cancer survivor and found an association of their physical tasks with the improvement in physical wellbeing. They concluded that if a breast cancer patient makes a long term physical activities then the chances of his survival become increases and QOL also improved. A similar study was by Fassio *et al.*

(2013) that relationship between QOL and individual's physical and psychological health and social structure of the society. The wellbeing of the society was directly linked with the living style and healthy environment. The main focus of the study was paid on population density that affects QOL. For QOL the major role of population density and related factor was assessed. The effect of demographic variables and physical exertion was also included in the study. The study concluded that population density affected physical, psychological and social quality of life of an individual. Zivana *et.al* (2015) studied the breast cancer affects on health related quality of life of breast cancer patients. The survey research was based on the EORTC QLQ-C30 version 3.0 and questionnaire for assessment of quality of life of those suffering from breast cancer QLQ-BR23. He concluded that Breast cancer affects all the domains of the quality of life, specially emotional and social functions, as well as role functions. Also, symptoms of fatigue, insomnia and pain have the most importance influence on these domains. Raquel *et.al* (2017) Explored that Quality of life of breast cancer patients was associated with level of education and by taking anxiolytic medication, those with previous pregnancies and in women with nipple retraction. They observed that QOL decreased in women with low level of education. They observed that QOL measured before and after treatment increase in the dimension of emotional function and future prospects. In turn, decrease occurred in physical function, role function, fatigue, pain,

dyspnoea, financial concerns, body image, symptoms of systemic therapies and symptoms associated with the breast and arm. Also, anxiety increased in married women, women who do not work and those who take anxiolytic medication. They recommended need to reinforce care, support and information in dimensions such as emotional function, sexual enjoyment and body image should emphasized. Ramasabu *et.al* (2020) observed an overall low quality of life (QoL) among adult cancer patients undergoing chemo affecting both psychological and physical wellbeing. It was explored that many demographic variables were associated with poor survival of cancer patients including Poor infrastructure, illiteracy, poverty, and lack of proper treatment facilities at most centers. In a recent study by Akezaki *et.al* (2021) factors related to early QOL three months after surgery in breast cancer patients were investigated and observed that early QOL of postoperative patients with breast cancer is affected by multiple factors. It was observed that age is a significant predictor of physical wellbeing of the Cancer patients who have undergone cancer therapy. QOL of the Married patients was better than patients living alone or who were divorced. Also, financial and emotional support from partners is an important factor for improving QOL of the breast cancer patients.

As the previous studied have explored the association of QOL of breast cancer patients with their chances of survival and attitude towards different medical treatments, therefore this study was designed to check the association between different demographic variables and QOL of

breast cancer patients. The linear relationship between physical wellbeing, psychological wellbeing, social wellbeing, spiritual wellbeing and quality of life (QOL) of breast cancer patients is analyzed and the factors affecting the quality of life of breast cancer patients are explored.

## 2. Methods

The data was collected from the Patients that were getting treatment through the oncology department and the breast cancer unit of a large hospital (INMOL) in Lahore, Pakistan during the period of November 2016 to January 2017 by face to face meeting and through mobile phone calls. The female patients who had completed their one year survivorship from age group 20-80 were included in the study. The patients were interviewed after approval of ethics committee of the hospital and after informed consent from all participants. All eligible patients were approached by their practitioner and informed about the study. If they showed consent to participate the research team provided them the questionnaires after a face to face session for guidance to fill out the questionnaire. All the patients who said 'yes' from November 2016 to January 2017 were included in the sample. Out of 360 women 250 showed interest to participate in study.

### 2.1. Instrument:

A modified version of the questionnaire introduced by the researchers of City of Hope National Medical Center to measure QOL of breast cancer patients was used to collect data. This quality of life tool (Breast cancer survivors

version) consist of 34 questions for measuring physical, psychological, spiritual and social wellbeing of breast cancer survivors on a 10 point liker scale.

The questions regarding information of demographic variables like, Area, age, income, marital status, social status, marriage age, stage of the disease, abortion, having history of still birth and breast cancer and length of breastfeeding period of breast cancer survivors are added.

At the end two questions for measuring overall QOL of breast cancer patients were included in the constructed questionnaire. An overall reliability for constructed questionnaire was  $r=0.93$  and for different life dimensions reliability as ranged from  $r=0.71$  for spiritual wellbeing, for physical  $r=0.77$ , for social  $r=0.81$ , and for psychological  $r=0.89$ . The overall internal consistency ( $r=0.83$ ) after adding two items related to Quality of life was sufficient.

### 2.2. Data Analysis Techniques:

Analysis of variance technique (ANOVA), Structural equation modeling (SEM), (Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA)) techniques were used to quantify the effects.

The EFA was used to check the factor loadings of newly added items with CFA to confirm the new measurement model added in the constructed questionnaire for measuring QOL of breast cancer patients. ANOVA technique was used to find out the difference among different groups with respect to QOL of breast cancer patients across demographic variables.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1- Summary of EFA:

The EFA technique was used for data reduction and to explore the constructs that are linear function of the observed variables. The significant value of Bartlett's test  $0.000 < 0.05$  show that factor analysis is appropriate. It was concluded that correlation matrix is not an

identity matrix means, variables are correlated enough and provides a basis for factor analysis. Also KMO value was observed ( $0.81 > 0.5$ ) which implies that sample size is satisfactory and data reduction through factor analysis is appropriate. . Using Exploratory Factor analysis (EFA) 6 factors were explored explaining 67.94% of the total variation.

**Table 3.1.1-Factors Extracted from data of breast Cancer Patients:**

Factors	List of Items/ Questions	Constructs	Loadings
F1	How important to you is your participation in religious activities such as praying?	Spiritual Well Being	0.866
	How important to you are other spiritual activities such as mediation and praying?		0.854
	How much has your spiritual life changed as a result of cancer diagnosis?		0.777
	To has extent has your illness made positive change in your life?		0.680
	Do you sense a purpose or mission for your life or a reason for being alive?		0.536
F2	How difficult is it for you to cope today as a result of your disease?	Psychological Well being	0.563
	How much happiness do you feel?		0.529
	How satisfying is your life?		0.568
	How is your present ability to remember things ?		0.566
	How useful do you feel?		0.756
	How hopeful do you feel?		0.555
F3	Fatigue	Physical Well Being	0.748
	Appetite change		0.619
	Ache or pain		0.642
	Sleep changes and nausea		0.542
	Weight gain		0.497
	Vaginal dryness and menopausal		0.635

	symptoms		
	Overall physical health		0.523
F4	How good is your quality of life?	Quality of life	0.552
	Do you feel like you are in control of situation in your life?		0.550
	Is the amount of support you receive from others is sufficient to meet your needs?		0.593
F5	Has your illness and treatment cause a changes in your physical appearance?	Treatment Expectation	0.580
	Initial diagnosis(chemotherapy, Surgery, radiation)		0.642
	Completion of treatment		0.519
	How much anxiety do you have?		0.536
	Future diagnostic tests(Second cancer, recurrence of cancer, spreading of metastasizes)		0.449
	Is your continuing health care interfering with your personal relationship?		0.646
F6	Has your illness and treatment cause a changes in yourself concept (the way you see yourself)?	Social Well Being	0.474
	To what degree has your illness and treatment interfered with your employment?		0.643
	To what degree has your illness and treatment interfered with your activities at home?		0.660
	How much concern do you have for your daughter or other close relatives regarding breast cancer?		0.434
	How much financial burden have you been incurred as a result of your illness and treatment?		0.484

Table 3.1.1 show summary of EFA technique, second column of the table is showing items of questionnaire that have high factor loadings on the corresponding factor. In third column an appropriate name is given to each factor related to items it contains and fourth column is showing factor loadings of each item on the corresponding factors. The above table shows that every factor contains more than one item. The values greater than or equal to 0.40 have taken as a criterion for a significant factor loadings (Hair et al. (2015)). The two added questions related to QOL and one another item have significant loading on the factor QOL. The two items were dropped due to insignificant loadings and remaining 32 items having significant loadings were compressed in 6 factors that named as Physical Exertion, Psychological Well Being, Social Well Being,

### 3.3-Summary of SEM:

The NPAR value against default model shows that there are total 109 parameters to be estimated through the model but many paths have dropped. The value of  $\chi^2$  is 1267.401 with 485 degrees of freedom and CMIN/DF (normed chi square) is 2.613. The incremental indices CFI, NFI, TFI, TLI of the model reports the values 0.85, 0.920.8, 0.897, and, 0.816 that are near to 1, indicate an adequate fit. The value of RMSEA is 0.07 which indicates a good fit of the model. Table 3.3.1 demonstrates that spiritual well-being (S\_W\_B\_1) is correlated with physical exertion (P\_E\_1), Psychological well-being (P\_S\_W\_B\_1) and treatment expectations (T\_E\_1) but is uncorrelated with social well-being (SO\_W\_B\_1). On QOL of breast cancer patients the effect of different

Spiritual Well Being and Quality of Life. In further analysis the extracted factors were used as constructs to explore effect of different dimension of the QOL of breast cancer patients.

### 3.2- Summary of ANOVA:

Table 3.2.1 shows that there is statistically significant difference among groups of quality of life of breast cancer patients with respect to social status, marital status, income, family history of breast cancer and length of breastfeeding period. The stage of breast cancer patients and classification of QOL on the basis of number of pregnancies of breast cancer patients are significantly different at 90% confidence level but the other demographic variables of QOL as, abortion history, still births, marriage age, area and age groups have same distribution across breast cancer patients.

dimensions of Breast cancer patients were measured through multiple regression model. The results of table 3.3.2 illustrates that Psychological well-being, Physical well-being, Spiritual well-being and Treatment expectations have significant effect ( $p < 0.05$ ) on QOL of breast cancer patient.

## 4. Discussion

This study focus to investigate the association of different demographic variables and personality traits with QOL of breast cancer patients. The effect of different factors, physical, psychological, social and spiritual wellbeing on quality of life (QOL) of breast cancer patients has investigated also. Income and Social status are found to be associated with QOL but marital status is not statistically significantly associated with QOL of

breast cancer patients, while it has concluded from previous researchers that QOL and marital status is associated because breast cancer patients without partner has worst QOL as investigated by Avis *et al* (2003) and Akezaki *et.al* (2021) . However Akezaki *et.al* were unable to reach to a conclusion regarding association between marital status and QOL of breast cancer patients. The difference among groups of QOL of breast cancer patients with respect to number of pregnancies was found insignificant in this study but has been reported significantly different in the previous studies. The QOL of Patients having different stages of diseases are found to be significantly different. The results of the study show that there exist a significant linear relationship between physical exertion and QOL that is supported by Meeske. K *et al.* (2007) that Physical exertion affects the QOL of breast cancer patients. For Psychological wellbeing and QOL, there exist a positive linear relationship among them. Study done by Den *et al.* (2009) supported our research that psychological health contributes to overall QOL at all time and has positive linear relationship. From our findings it has been concluded that Social wellbeing has not any effect on QOL of breast cancer patients means Social wellbeing does not contribute in improving QOL of breast cancer survivors. It may be concluded from this research that breast cancer patients having spiritual satisfaction and strong faith are enjoying good QOL as the positive relationship between Spiritual wellbeing and QOL of breast cancer patients exists Ringdal (1996) has described that

spirituality has relation with internal satisfaction or overall QOL that is verified by this research. The treatment expectations have also significant effect on QOL of breast cancer patients. The breast cancer patients who are more optimistic about their treatment results are enjoying better Quality of life. As mentioned earlier that breast cancer is directly associated with QOL, therefore it is very important to know the factors having effect on QOL of breast cancer patients. The results of this research may be helpful to know about different demographic, social and personal factors that affect QOL of breast cancer patients and should consider for improving QOL of breast cancer patients.

## 5. Conclusion

It was concluded that different demographic variables including family income, social status, marital status, income, having family history of breast cancer and length of breastfeeding period has significant effect on QOL of breast cancer patients. Also, Physical Exertion, Psychological wellbeing and spiritual Well Being have statistically significant effect on the QOL of breast cancer survivor.

## References

Akezaki, Y.; Nakata, E.; Kikuuchi, M.; Tominaga, R.; Kurokawa, H.; Okamoto, M.; Hamada, M.; Aogi, K.; Ohsumi, S.; Sugihara, S. (2021). Investigation of Factors Affecting Early Quality of Life of Patients after Breast Cancer Surgery. *Healthcare*, 9, 213. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9020213>

- Addington-Hall J., & Kalra, L. (2001). Measuring QOL: Who Should Measure QOL? *BMJ*, 4(5), 332, 1417-20.
- American Cancer Society (2018). Cancer Facts & Figures 2018
- Avis, N. E., Crawford, S., & Manuel, J. (2005). Quality of Life Among Younger Women With Breast Cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 23(23), 1691-1704.
- Awatef, M., Olfa, G., Imed, H., Kacem, M., Imen, C., Rim, C., Mohamed., & Slim, B. A. (2010). Breastfeeding Reduces Breast Cancer Risk: a Case-Control Study in Tunisia. *A Cancer Causes and Control Study*, 21(3), 393-397.
- Den Ouden, B. De Vries, J., Van De Steeg, A. Roukema, J., & Van Heck, G. (2009). Determinants of overall Quality of life in Women Over the First Year After Surgery for Early Stage Breast Cancer. *Quality of life research*, 18(10), 1321-1329.
- Dollinger, M., & Rosenbaum, E. H., Greg, C. (1991). Breast. In: *Everyone's Guide to Cancer Therapy*. (eds, Dollinger, M., Rosenbaum, E. H., and cable, G.). *Universal press syndicate company, New York*, 9(11), 241-64.
- Donald, A. (2001). What is Quality of Life? *Hayward Medical Communication a Division of Hayward Group Plc*, 41 (3), 293-304.
- Ferrall, B. R., Dow, K. H., & Grant, M. (1995). Measurement of the Quality of life in cancer survivors. *Quality of life Research*, 4(6), 523-531.
- Fassio, O., Rollero, C., & De Piccoli, N. (2013). Health, Quality of Life and Population Density: A Preliminary Study on "Contextualized" Quality of Life. *Social Indicators Research*, 7(2), 479-488.
- Hair Jr., J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J. and Anderson, R.E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis: A Global Perspective*. 7th Edition, Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River.
- Hewitt M, Greenfield S, & Stovall E. (2006). *From Cancer Patient to Cancer Survivor: Lost in Transition*. Institute of Medicine, National Academies Press. Washington, DC.
- Janz, N. K., Mujahid, M., Lantz, P. M., Fagerlin, A., Saleem, B., Morrow, M., Deapen, D., & Katz, S.J. (2005). Population Based Study of the Relationship of Treatment and Socio-Demographic on Quality of Life for Early Stage Breast Cancer. *Quality of Life Research*, 6(14), 1647-1479.
- Meeske, K., Smith, A., Alfano, C., McGregor, B., McTiernan, A., Baumgartner, K., & Bernstein, L. (2007). Fatigue in Breast Cancer Survivors Two to Five Years Post Diagnosis: A HEAL Study Report. *Quality of Life Research*, 16(6), 947-960.
- Pandey, M., Singh, S. P., Behere, P. B., Roy, S. K., & Shukla, V. K. (2000). Quality of Life in Patients With Early and Advanced

- Carcinoma of Breast. *Europium Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 5(26), 20-24.
- Raquel R. V., Salvador.P.F., Carmen.C.G., M<sup>a</sup> Teresa.S. P., Vanesa.B. B., Cristina.G.M.,(2017) Quality of life and anxiety in women with breast cancer before and after treatment. *Rev. Latino-Am. Enfermagem* vol.25 Ribeirão Preto 2017 Epub Dec 21, 2017.
- Ringdal, G. (1996). Religiosity, Quality of Life and Survival in Cancer Patients. *Social Indicator Research*, 38(2), 193-211.
- Ramasubbu. S. K., Pasricha .R, Nath. U. K., Rawat. V. S and Das. B (2020). Quality of life and factors affecting it in adult cancer patients undergoing cancer chemotherapy in a tertiary care hospital. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cnr2.1312>
- Testa, M. A., & Simonson, D. C. (1996). Assessment of Quality of life Outcomes. *The New England Journal of Pakistan*, 33(13), 234-267.
- Williams, N. S., Bulstrode, C. J., O'Connell, P.R., Bailey, H., & Love, R. J. (2008). *Bailey & Love's Short Practice of Surgery*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC press.
- Zivana Gavric, Zivana Vukovic-Kostic (2015). *Glob J Health Sci*. 2016 Sep; 8(9): 1–9. Published online 2015 Oct 16. doi: 10.5539/gjhs.v8n9p1PMCID: PMC5064088